



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,553	06/28/2001	Mark Lewis	P 279171 P11166	5111
7590 11/09/2006 Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman 12400 Wilshire Blvd.			EXAMINER	
			PHILIPPE, GIMS S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Seventh floor		Air Givi		
Los Angeles, CA 90025			2621	
			DATE MAILED: 11/09/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/892,553	LEWIS, MARK			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gims S. Philippe	2621			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMES and time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Disperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)[[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Au	ugust 2006	•			
2a)□	•	action is non-final.	-			
3)	(-					
- مرد	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
-	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.					
7)63	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5\□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	•					
7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)		c election requirement				
,		election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		·				
Attachmen	t(s)					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/892,553 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to applicant's appeal brief received on August 22, 2006.

Prosecution of the application is now re-opened after an appeal conference on Monday November 4, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory).

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,553

Art Unit: 2621

2. Claims 17-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claims 17-30 defines a computer program product embodying functional descriptive material. However, the claim does not define a computer-readable medium or memory and is thus non-statutory for that reason (i.e., "When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized" — Guidelines Annex IV). That is, the scope of the presently claimed computer program product can range from paper on which the program is written, to a program simply contemplated and memorized by a person. The examiner suggests amending the claim to embody the program on "computer-readable medium" or equivalent in order to make the claim statutory. Any amendment to the claim should be commensurate with its corresponding disclosure.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Claims that recite nothing but the physical characteristics of a form of energy, such as a frequency, voltage, or the strength of a magnetic field, define energy or magnetism, per se, and as such are nonstatutory natural phenomena. O'Reilly, 56 U.S. (15 How.) at 112-14. Moreover, it does not appear that a claim reciting a signal encoded with functional descriptive material falls within any of the categories of patentable subject matter set forth in Sec. 101.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,553 Page 4

Art Unit: 2621

... a signal does not fall within one of the four statutory classes of Sec. 101.

... signal claims are ineligible for patent protection because they do not fall within any of the four statutory classes of Sec. 101.

4. Claims 17-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claims 17-30 call for computer program product embodying functional descriptive material. However, the Specification page 14 [0032] call for a carrier wave modulated as part of the description of the claimed program (See lines 9-11 of [0032]) with descriptive material. While "functional descriptive material" may be claimed as a statutory product (i.e., a "manufacture") when embodied on a tangible computer readable medium, a signal carrier wave embodying that same functional descriptive material is neither a process nor a product (i.e., a tangible "thing") and therefore does not fall within one of the four statutory classes of § 101. Rather, "signal" is a form of energy, in the absence of any physical structure or tangible material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2621

6. Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sheridan (US Patent no. 5760917).

Regarding claims 1, 9, 17, 25 and 31, Sheridan discloses the same method for location-based image sharing (See Abstract), comprising defining a sharing rule associated with the one or more recipients with whom images should be shared based on location-identifying information with the images (See col. 4, lines 51-67 and col. 5, lines 1-18), and applying location identifying information associated with an image to the sharing rule to determine the one or more recipients with which the image should be shared (See Sheridan col. 5, lines 10-18, col. 6, lines 13-32, and lines 56-63).

As per claims 2, 4, 6, 10, 14, 18, 22, 29, and 35 most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the above rejection of claims. In addition, Sheridan further makes the image automatically available to the determined recipients and automatically sends the digital image once the requested admission is granted (See Sheridan figs. 2-3, and col. 4, lines 51-67 and col. 5, lines 1-41).

As per claims 5, 7, 12-13, 15, 21, 23, 28, 30, 34, and 36, Sheridan further provides identifying information comprising latitude and longitude coordinates, proximity identifying information, and a set of location-identifying information (See col. 6, lines 60-66, col. 8, lines 58-67). The applicant should note that the mailing address referred to in

Sheridan col. 8, lines 66-67 will provide the proximity information as well as the latitude and longitude coordinates.

As per claim 33, 20, and 27 Sheridan further converts the location identifyinginformation into location data using a location database (See col. 8, lines 25-34 and lines 58-67).

As per claims 8, 16, 24 and 37, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the above rejection of claims 1 and 31. In addition, Sheridan further defines sharing rule wherein images are shared on a buddy list (See Sheridan's buddy list in fig. 2, and col. 4, lines 51-67 and col. 5, lines 1-18).

As per claim 3, 11, 19, 26 and 32, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the above rejection of claims 1, 11, 25. In addition, Sheridan further discloses e-mailing a copy of the image or sending a Web link to the recipients (See Sheridan's col. 5, lines 19-42).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gims S. Philippe whose telephone number is (571) 272-7336. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10:30-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dastouri S. Mehrdad can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2621

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Gims S Philippe Primary Examiner Art Unit 2621

November 6, 2006

GSP